UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/770,464	02/04/2004	Yuji Hori	118554	1349
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 09/30/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	PELHAM, JOSEPH MOORE		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		10/770	,464	HORI ET AL.		
		Examir	ner	Art Unit		
		Joseph	M. Pelham	3742		
The Period for Re _l	MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on	the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTE WHICHEV - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ER IS LONGER, FROM THE M If time may be available under the provision MONTHS from the mailing date of this com for reply is specified above, the maximum s loty within the set or extended period for repl beived by the Office later than three months at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply an y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICA event, however, may a rep d will expire SIX (6) MONTH application to become ABAI	ATION. Ily be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status						
2a)⊠ This 3)⊡ Since	consive to communication(s) fil action is FINAL . This application is in condition In accordance with the pract	2b)⊠ This action is for allowance exce	s non-final. pt for formal matte		e merits is	
Disposition of	Claims					
4a) C 5) Clain 6) Clain 7) Clain 8) Clain 8) Clain Application Pa 9) The s	n(s) 1 and 3-9 is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/a is/are allowed. n(s) 1,3-6,8 and 9 is/are rejected (s) 7 is/are objected to. n(s) are subject to restrict apers pecification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 05 March 20	are withdrawn from ed. ction and/or election ne Examiner.	n requirement.	cted to by the Examine	er.	
Applio	cant may not request that any objected to the care to	ection to the drawing(sg the correction is req	s) be held in abeyand uired if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) D Notice of Dr	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) /Mail Date		Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application -		

Application/Control Number: 10/770,464 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

The Examiner acknowledges the RCE amendment filed 9/12/08. Claims 1 and 3-9 remain pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1, 3-6, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. 4681423 in view of US Pat. Appl. 2003/0174355.

Referring to Fig. 5, 1:54-60 ("column:lines"), and 3:8-49, US'423 discloses a copier with a "first control processing" mode that initiates warm-up when placement of an original is detected.

US'423 does not disclose a "second control processing" mode that does not initiate a warm-up mode, but instead by a "copy operation instruction," a control unit that performs either the first or second "control processing" based on the mode set when the an original is detected (see par. [0064] inter alia).

Referring to paragraphs [0064]-[0070], US'355 discloses a "second control processing" mode – facsimile - that deactivates a warm-up mode, and initiates a "copy operation instruction" – a "normal mode, and the desired mode is set by the user before a "control processing" is executed." It would have been obvious to adapt the second mode of US'355, which deactivates the warm-up mode, to conserve energy during an outgoing facsimile transmission, when image fusing is not required. Since the determination of which of the processing modes is executed, when an original is detected, is determined by the mode set by the user beforehand, clearly US'355 alone discloses a "fixing unit [that] performs either one of the first... or second control processing based on the set operation mode when the original detection detects the set original," as claimed. A manual override (claim 9) simply retains the option to choose a mode at regardless of the automatic setting, which feature is virtually universal in diverse electronic devices. Claim 10 merely states that the mode executed is the mode set, which necessarily obtains in the operation of US'423 in view of US'355.

While US'423 does not explicitly disclose both manual and automatic mode selection (claim 5), the latter by detecting transmission, i.e. registering fax operation (claim 3), this does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. It would have been obvious to automatically disable the preheating mode during fax operation since preheating is then manifestly unnecessary. Claim 6 is met by control means that, when the device is turned on, retains the previous mode setting, which is conventional, and avoids the need to always select a mode when starting the device.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/770,464

Art Unit: 3742

Response to Arguments

Page 3

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 8 and 9 have been considered but are not persuasive.

Applicant, at pages 6 & 7 of the Remarks, has misconstrued the grounds of rejection, treating Nishijima in isolation and stating that Suehiro is applied "only for its alleged teaching of a second operating mode."

Suehiro, however, is applied for considerably more than its teaching of a second operating mode. Suehiro discloses two modes, one in which the device is preheated prior to any copy instruction (when "priority is given to the copy function," Par. [0064]), and the mode in which preheating is not desired because priority is given to the facsimile function (par. [0070]), i.e, that preheating is not desirable when the facsimile function is selected but is desirable when the copy function is selected. Nishijima teaches a type of copy function preheating which conserves energy.

The combination of Nishijima's preheat mode with Suehiro's plurality of functions associated with preheat and 'non-preheat' modes, clearly suggests a preheat mode when the copy function has priority (the claimed "first control processing" = Nishijima's warm-up in response to detection of an original) and a non-preheat mode when the facsimile function has priority (the claimed "second control processing" = Suehiro's "normal mode" of warm-up, started only when the copy instruction is issued).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph M. Pelham whose telephone number is 571-272-4786. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Joseph M. Pelham/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742 9/26/08